

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILI	ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/524,471	02/14/2005		Yasuhisa Okabe	42610.6300	42610.6300 6927	
21611	7590	08/15/2006	EXAMINER		INER	
SNELL & WILMER LLP 600 ANTON BOULEVARD				LUM VANNUCCI, LEE SIN YEE		
SUITE 1400 COSTA MESA, CA 92626				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				3611	_	

DATE MAILED: 08/15/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/524,471	OKABE ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Lee Lum	3611
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from to cause the application to become ABANDONEL	l. ely filed the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
<ul> <li>1) ⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 Fee</li> <li>2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☒ This</li> <li>3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E</li> </ul>	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4)  Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)  Claim(s) all is/are rejected. 7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.	
<ul> <li>9)  The specification is objected to by the Examine 10)  The drawing(s) filed on 14 February 2005 is/are Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11)  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex</li> </ul>	e: a) accepted or b) objected or b) objected or b) objected drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Application rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	

Application/Control Number: 10/524,471

Art Unit: 3611

## **DETAILED ACTION**

1. A Preliminary Amendment was filed 2/14/05 in which Claims 10-12 were added.

2. Claim 1 is objected to because in line 5, "is" should be placed before "positioned".

Claim 2 is objected to because "an" in "an air" should be deleted.

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-7 and 10-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Miyajima et al 6409783.

Miyajima discloses a motorcycle frame comprising

Head block 1 including headtube 18,

Main frame 2,12,13 (fig 4), members 12 and 13 being hollow, and being forked leftwards and rightwards from the head block to form main frame half portions, including swing arm brackets (unidentified in fig 5) extending downwards from rear ends of the half portions, and made\* from a metal casting,

air intake passages (as depicted in fig 4) through the main frame to air cleaner 21, the air cleaner positioned between the frame half portions (fig 1), inner portion formed\* from a metal casting (c3, ln 17-18),

outer portion formed by an outer member prepared\* from sheet metal (c3, ln 17-18),

inner and outer portions forming a general "U" shape (i.e., interior and exterior halves as seen in crosssection), with openings jointed,(fig 4; angled

Art Unit: 3611

12

portions between members 12 and 13), as broadly and reasonably interpreted, with each other to form the air passages,

traverse flash plates 25 to separate water from the air flow, air cleaner positioned downstream (fig 1), water release means 29b (fig 6), wherein

the head block with air intake is a metal casting\* (c3, ln 16, where "casing" is understood to be "casting").

\* The language is directed towards a process of manufacture/making, and thus is immaterial to an apparatus claim.

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 8 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Miyajima in view of Yamagiwa et al 4989665.

Miyajima does not disclose a crossmember, nor ribs within the inner member. Yamagiwa shows

Cross member 88 (fig 3), Ribs 54 in inner wall 22 (fig 11A).

Re the cross member, it would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include this configuration, as shown in Yamagiwa, to provide an additional frame member to fortify the main frame portions, thus increase structural integrity of the frame, and as is very well-known.

Art Unit: 3611

Re the ribs, it would have been obvious to include these for strengthening the inner wall, where interior components are located, thus increase structural integrity here also.

5. The prior art considered pertinent, but not relied upon, includes:

Takemura et al 4722412, Shinozaki et al 4648474, Iwai 4637486, Yanagishita et al 4611679, Yamada 4597466.

## 6. Communication with the Examiner/USPTO

Any inquiry concerning this communication, or others, should be directed to Ms. Lum-Vannucci at 571 272 6649, M-F, 9-5. If she can't be reached, her supervisor, Ms. Lesley Morris, may be reached at 571 272 6651. Our fax number is 571 273 8300. Info re the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system as follows: for unpublished applications – private PAIR only, for published applications – private or public PAIR. For more info on PAIR – <a href="http://pair-direct.uspto.gov">http://pair-direct.uspto.gov</a>. For more info on private PAIR – call the Electronic Business Center at 866 217 9197.

Ms. Lee Lum-Vannucci

Examiner 8/11/06